Council on Education of the Deaf

CED Program Review Report Forms and Formats

Approved, February 2022

Council on Education of the Deaf

Program Review Report Format and Forms

COVER SHEET

Institution:		Date submitted	
Program Coordina	ator/Director:	Academic Rank/Title:	
Address:			
Phone:	Email:	Fax:	
	nted in this report: nstitution's program (s)		
Degree lev	<i>r</i> el		
Is this pro	gram offered online?	□ YES □ NO	□ Hybrid
Title of the	e state license(s)/certification	(s) for which candidates are p	orepared:
	eview ditation Report	d not receive initial certificatio	on)
CED/CAEP require licensure or certific		ers who have taken the test to ate has a testing requirement.	
□ YES □ NO			
If YES, which one	S		

Table of Contents

IntroductionIntroduction	4
Administrative Information	4
Program Data Table	4
Standard I: Program Curriculum	5
Standard 1.1 Narrative	
Standard 1.2 Narrative	6
Plan of Study	6
Course Alignment with CED Initial Preparation Standards	6
Program Assessment Matrix	7
Standard 1.3 Narrative	8
Practicum/Field Experience Form	8
Practicum Centers and Personnel	9
Standard 2: Program Faculty	9
Standard 2 Narrative	10
Professional Personnel Data	10
Course Offerings and Faculty Responsibilities for the Past Two Years	11
Standard 3: Candidates	11
Standard 3 Narrative	12
Admission Procedures and Criteria	12
Candidate Information	13
Report on Graduates	13
Standard 4: Resources and Facilities	14
Standard 4 Narrative	14
Standard 5: Candidate Evaluation and Program Evaluation and Improvement	15
Standard 5 Narrative	15
Program Outcomes Data Error! Bookmark not	defined.

Introduction

(Limit narrative to six pages)

- Briefly describe the institution:
 - Demographic information for faculty and student body (e.g., hearing status, disability, race, and gender)
 - Provide a brief history of the deaf education program including year it was established, current *accreditation status of the program, teacher education division, and institution. Include dates. Identify periods of interruptions or lapses in the program's accreditation history, the geographic area of service where students find employment and types of programs where employed. (*CED requires that programs are nationally or regionally certified to ensure foundational program quality: CED provides a D/HH-specific review beyond this accreditation).
- Provide a one paragraph summary of the program, the programs' general communication philosophy, and the communication settings for which students are prepared.
- Describe the organizational structure of the institution and program relationships with other
 divisions and disciplines within the institution. Include the administrative structure of the program
 including how organizational and program-specific and financial decisions and changes are made.
 Describe the participation of the teacher training program for *D/deaf and hard of hearing students
 across institutional levels of decision making for issues of scheduling, resource sharing and
 management, faculty allocation, recognition and place within the larger structures, and other
 aspects that affect program continuation and health. (*For ease in reading and writing this
 document, D/deaf and hard of hearing will be referred to as D/HH).
- List all of the institution's administrative units responsible for the teacher preparation program for students who are D/HH and the name and title of the head of each.

Introduction Tables

Administrative Information

Administrative Unit	Name and Title of Unit Head
1.	1.
2.	2.
3.	3.
4.	4.

Program Data Table

Fill in the table below with the following column headings:

(a) What degree is granted upon completion of the program?

- (b) What is the average length in semesters of the program?
- (c) What is the number of graduates for each of the previous three years?
- (d) What is the number of full-time candidates expected to graduate this academic year?
- (e) What is the number of full-time candidates expected to graduate in the next two academic years?

	А	В	С	С	С	D	Е	Е
			Year:	Year:	Year:	Year:	Year:	Year:
Undergraduate:								
- Full-time								
- Part-time	Χ	Χ						
Graduate:								
 Full-time 								
- Part-time	Χ	Χ						

Standard I: Program Curriculum

Standard 1.1 Design of Curriculum: The curriculum reflects the institution's philosophy regarding education of students who are D/HH and personnel preparation, its conception of the role of the teacher, and its program objectives.

Standard 1.1 Narrative

- Describe the institution's education and instructional philosophy
- Describe the alignment of the teacher or professional preparation program for students who are D/HH in terms with the institution regarding:
 - The role of the teacher,
 - o Program objectives,
 - o Design of the program to meet the objectives.

Standard 1.2 Curriculum Components

Standard: 1.2.1 The generic portion of the core curriculum consists of learning experiences designed to develop candidate outcomes in the following areas defined by the CEC-CED Special Education Initial Special Educator Preparation Standards, Specialty Set: Deaf and Hard of Hearing: <a href="https://www.cec.sped.org/-/media/Files/Standards/CEC%20Initial%20and%20Advanced%20Specialty%20Sets/Initial

Standard 1.2.2 Language and Communication: The specialized portion of curriculum prepares candidates to meet the unique language and communication needs of D/HH students. The curriculum should include, as appropriate to program objectives, experience, coursework and training in skills that optimize language development, learning and literacy.

Standard 1.2 Narrative

- Describe the integration of CED-CED, and national or regional accreditation standards (e.g., CAEP, NEASC, MSCHE) within the program's design and course development. Include a website link to these national/regional standards.
- Describe the planning and approval procedures for developing and modifying curricula. Identify factors and issues that have led to curricular change.
- Describe the curriculum design of the program in terms of the scope of the program and academic level (graduate, undergraduate, or both).
- Describe how candidates are given the course-specific CED-CEC standards, either on each syllabus or an overall program document. In Appendix A, include a matrix of the CED-CEC standards addressed in program courses, and a syllabus for each course required for initial teacher certification in education of D/HH students.
- Describe how Standard 1.2.2 is met using one of the performance-based assessments (indicate the assessment on the matrix below).

Tables for Standard 1.2

Plan of Study

Document the program's plan of study, required and elective courses (titles, numbers, credits) and offerings by semesters or quarters for teacher certification in deaf education. Star those courses that include field experiences and include number of practicum hours. Include the General Education program for undergraduate or graduate programs in the course sequence.

Required Courses (title, number, credits)	Elective Courses (title, number, credits)	Fall X = offered	Spring X = offered	Summer X = offered

Course Alignment with CED-CEC Initial Preparation Standards

Show course alignments to 2018 CED-CEC initial teacher preparation standards, and other national or regional accreditation. Standards should be included on each course syllabus taken by candidates in the program or on a program document. Include only those courses taught within the deaf education program unit.

Course Title and Number	CED-CEC	Nat'l/Regional
	Standard Number	Standard Number

Program Assessment Matrix

List the 6-8 assessments that provide evidence of candidates' meeting the 7 CED-CEC initial licensure standards. All programs must have a minimum of 6 assessments which may include one state licensure or standardized content area test. Include a copy of each assessment in Appendix B (identified at the end of this document). Each assessment must be designed as a rubric using observable and measurable terms to describe expected candidate performance outcomes (in compliance with CAEP, CEC, and other national standards). Grades, course performance percentages, or cumulative points earned are not appropriate evidence of skills.

Performance outcomes for each CED-CEC standard must be evaluated and reported separately. Assessments may examine multiple CED-CEC standards within a single project or instructional activity, but each standard must be evaluated and reported separately. This provides the necessary evidence (required by national accreditations), that candidates are competent across each of the 7 standards.

All but one standardized assessment must be performance-based evaluations of skills. Programs may use their CED-CEC, National or Regional data matrices if these include the elements listed below, with outcomes reported separately by individual CED-CEC standard. Assessments must address standard 1.2.2 as well as the following, listed on the assessment matrix below:

- 1. Content Knowledge
- 2. Language and Communication Skills, aligned with program philosophy, for example, ASLPI:ASL, SLPI, TOEFL, Praxis I Basic Skills, GRE or other assessments of foundational skills. (Note: ASLPI:ASL and SLPI are the only 2 validated tests of ASL proficiency.)
- 3. Pedagogical and Professional Knowledge or Skills for Lesson or Unit Instruction
- 4. Pedagogical and Professional Knowledge or Skills during Student Teaching/Internship
- 5. Candidate Effects on Student Learning
- 6. Assessment(s) of CED Standard 1.2.2
- 7. Optional Assessment of CED Standards
- 8. Optional Assessment of CED Standards

	CED-CEC	Type or Name of	Activity or Course during	When
	Standard #	Assessment	which assessment occurs	Administered
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
(optional)				
8				
(optional)				

Standard 1.3.1 Practicum Length and Sequence: The curriculum incorporates a planned sequence of practicum experiences appropriate to the general curriculum. Practicum includes adequate amounts of observation, participation, and practice for A MINIMUM OF 150 HOURS OF DIRECTED OBSERVATION AND PARTICIPATION AND A MINIMUM OF 250 CLOCK HOURS OF STUDENT TEACHING. Indicate the total hours spent in direct observation and participation (150 hrs minimum) and in student teaching (250 hrs minimum).

Standard 1.3.2 Practicum Facilities: A wide range of practicum facilities is available. Candidates should be familiar with the full array of resources and the continuum of alternative placements available to D/HH students and carry out practicum activities in these settings and facilities as appropriate to the objectives of the program and their own professional goals. List the 5 most frequently used settings on the Practicum Centers and Personnel form below.

Standard 1.3.3 Practicum Supervision: *Qualified personnel from the teacher preparation center and practicum settings and facilities conduct a well-coordinated, planned program of supervision for all phases of practicum. Supervision is adequate and appropriate in terms of its nature, frequency, and amount and its relevance to program objectives. We strongly recommend that each faculty member be assigned no more than 15 student teachers per semester, and count as a full FTE.*

Standard 1.3 Narrative

- Briefly (limit of 4 pages) describe the scope and nature of practicum experiences and facilities, and the supervision of practicum experiences (complete the charts below).
 - o Describe how the practica and field experiences are aligned with the program philosophy.
 - o Describe how the field program effectiveness is evaluated by candidates and program faculty.
 - o Describe how the practica and field experiences include a range of program facilities and exposure to children from diverse cultural, racial and economic backgrounds.
 - Describe how cooperating teachers and program faculty work together to support a positive candidate experience across all phases of the practica. Describe how candidate teaching performance is recorded, monitored, and evaluated.
 - o Attach a weblink or electronic copy of the student teaching handbook.
 - Describe the frequency and type of supervision provided at each phase by university supervisors and cooperating teachers.
 - Describe how cooperating teachers and practicum sites are selected. Describe the training and information sharing between cooperating teachers and practicum sites and the institution and program.

Practicum/Field Experience Form

List field experiences by course name and number, from earliest to latest order through student teaching/internship.	Hours and weeks of field experience for each course.	Faculty supervision: number of visits, total hours observed in person or via video.	Ratio of supervisor to candidates in this field experience.

Total Observation/Participation Hours:		
Total Student Teaching Hours:		

Practicum Centers and Personnel

(for observations, participation, student teaching, internships, recreational activities)

Please complete a separate sheet for each practicum facility used, up to 5 of the most frequently used sites.

Facility Name:	
Characteristics: day class, day school, residential, itinerant, resource room, clinic, hospital, other (specify)	
Language and communication approach	
Number of candidates placed in the past 3 yrs	
Types of experiences: observations, tutoring, aiding, non-	
academic, student teaching, other (specify)	
Number of cooperating teachers with state licensure to	
teach students who are deaf or hard of hearing	
Number of cooperating teachers with CED certification	
Number of cooperating teachers with a master's degree or	
higher	

Cooperating Teacher Report Form

List the names of all of the *cooperating/master teachers the program used in the previous three years with a maximum of 10 of the most frequently used teachers. It is strongly recommended that each teacher have a minimum of three years of teaching experience with D/HH students, and is CED certified. For each, provide (1) their highest academic degree, (2) the areas in which they are certified or licensed to teach, and (3) their number of years of teaching experience with students who are D/HH. (*Cooperating teachers are the master or supervising teacher or teacher mentor is the individual to whom the candidate is assigned to oversee and evaluate the candidate's performance.)

Year	Cooperating Teacher's Name	Highest Academic Degree	Areas of Certification	Years of Experience with D/HH	CED Certified

Standard 2.1 Competence and Utilization of Faculty: An institution engaged in preparing teachers has a minimum of two qualified full-time faculty members (or their equivalent) in teacher education, each with post-master's degree preparation or demonstrated scholarly and professional competence, and each with appropriate expertise in components of the curricula (e.g., language, communication, pedagogy). One faculty member is officially designated as Program Coordinator or Director who assumes accountability for program administration, direction and evaluation. At least one faculty member must be CED certified.

Standard 2.2 Faculty Involvement with Schools: Members of the teacher education faculty have continuing association and involvement with educational programs for students who are D/HH.

Standard 2.3 Conditions for Faculty Service: The institution provides conditions essential to the effective performance by the teacher education faculty.

Standard 2.4 Part-Time Faculty: The requirements for part-time faculty in the institution are comparable to those for appointment to the full-time faculty and are employed only when part-time faculty can make special contributions to teacher education programs.

Standard 2 Narrative

- Briefly describe (limit of 4 pages):
 - o Effectiveness of instruction and supervision in each area of Standard 2 is evaluated.
 - o Evaluation of program faculty instruction and supervision effectiveness.
 - o Faculty engagement in professional activities, organizations, research.
 - Faculty involvement with educational programs for students who are D/HH ((for example, giving presentations, working in schools, conducting research in schools and/or with DHH students).
 - o Program faculty (full- and part-time) opportunities for professional development and support.
 - o Program faculty (full- and part-time) is diverse, including hearing status, disability, race, and gender with ongoing efforts to recruit and retain diverse faculty.
 - o The rationale for use of part-time faculty in the program.
 - o How part-time faculty are recruited and oriented to the institution and to the program.
- Complete the following charts for each faculty member responsible for professional coursework, clinical supervision, or administration in this program. (We strongly recommend that deaf education methods and assessment courses enroll no more than 10-12 students per section with other program courses enrolling no more than 25 students per section. We recommend that online courses enroll no more than 12-15 students per section.)

Professional Personnel Data

Complete the following three-page form for each person who teaches required deaf education courses, including who supervises practicum or student teaching, or has direct administrative responsibilities in the preparation program for teachers of students who are D/HH. (Programs do not need to include faculty who teach courses outside of the deaf education concentration.) Include a full copy of all vitas in Appendix B.

Name:	Title:
Academic Rank & Status	Date of Initial Appointment:

(full/part-time):		
Credentials: CED:		Granting Bodies:
Degrees and M	ajor Fields:	Granting Bodies:
Term		Faculty Workload
Fall		
Spring		
Summer		

Course Offerings and Faculty Responsibilities for the Past Two Years

Courses	Deaf Education Course Dispositions by Faculty (initials) and class size					
	Fall/size	Spring/size	Summer/size	Fall/size	Spring/size	Summer/size

Key: initials, faculty member's name, title, and full-time-equivalent commitment to the preparation program for teachers of students who are D/HH.

Standard 3: Candidates

Standard 3.1 Admission to Programs: The institution applies specific criteria for admission to the program for the preparation of teachers of students who are D/HH. These criteria require the use of both objective and subjective data.

Standard 3.2 Retaining Candidates in Programs: The institution applies specific criteria for retaining candidates who possess academic competencies and dispositions appropriate to the requirements of teaching.

Standard 3.3 Candidate Participation in Program Evaluation and Development: The program preparing teachers for students who are D/HH has a systematic procedure for securing feedback on the program and the faculty members from candidates and graduates. (Complete this through Standards 2.1 and 5.2.)

Standard 3.4 Program Graduation Requirements: Graduation from a program for the preparation of teachers of students who are D/HH implies more than the satisfactory completion of a series of academic credit hours and includes multiple and valid knowledge, dispositions, and performance-based measures.

Standard 3 Narrative

- Briefly describe (limit of 5 pages) the criteria for admission, retention, and exit from the program.
 List required standardized tests and scores, overall GPAs and minimum grade requirements,
 professional portfolio scores, and measures of ethical behaviors, dispositions and skills that are
 required by the program and for graduation. Describe which function as "gates" through which
 candidates must progress in order to verify their initial, ongoing, and final teaching competencies.
- Complete the charts below and summarize in the narrative description. Include information on candidate recruitment and diversity regarding hearing status, disability, race, and gender.
- Describe the supports and services available to candidates, including supports and services to recruit and retain diverse and D/HH candidates.
- Describe the appeals process for candidates who are denied entrance to the program or who are dismissed at any point prior to graduation.

Admission Procedures and Criteria

Indicate procedures, test names, and criteria the program uses to select and retain its candidates.

	Junior	Senior	Master's & Program Year	Post Master's & Program Year
Grade point average (specify)				
Previous credentials				
Professional portfolio				
Graduate school admission				
Experience				

Letters of recommendation		
Standardized tests (specify)		
Other (specify)		

Candidate Information

Provide 3 years of data on candidates enrolled in, and completing the program, beginning with the most recent academic year for which numbers have been tabulated. Report separately by level/track (e.g., baccalaureate, post-baccalaureate, alternate routes, master's, doctorate).

Program Level:		
Academic Year	# of Candidates Enrolled in	# of Program
	the Program	Completers

Program Level:		
Academic Year	# of Candidates Enrolled in	# of Program
Academic real	the Program	Completers

Report on Graduates

Indicate the numbers of graduates for the previous two years in each of the following categories:

	Previous Year (specify):	Second Previous Year (specify):
Number of Graduates		
Number of the above CED certified		

Employed in a Birth-to-Three (0-3) Early Intervention Program for D/HH infants and toddlers	
Employed in a pre-school for D/HH children	
Employed in a regular education elementary school, self- contained classes for D/HH students	
Employed in a regular education secondary school, self-contained classes for D/HH students	
Employed in a regular education setting as an itinerant teacher of the deaf or resource teacher (no assigned classroom)	
Employed in a school for D/HH students, elementary level	
Employed in a school for D/HH students, secondary level	
Other teaching setting with D/HH students (please specify) (e.g multiple disabilities center, clinic, mental health setting)	
Employed to teach hearing students	
Employed but not teaching	
Unemployed, but pursuing further education	
Unemployed	

Standard 4: Resources and Facilities

Standard 4.1 Library/Information Resources: The online and/or print library access is adequate to support the instruction, research, and services of each teacher education program.

Standard 4.2 Materials, Instructional Technology and Access: Instructional technology and support for a variety of technologies is adequate to support the teacher education program.

Standard 4.3 Physical and Online Facilities, Support, and Other Resources: The institution provides sufficient physical facilities and/or online services and other resources essential to the instructional and training activities of the program.

Standard 4 Narrative

Provide a brief narrative (limit of 2 pages) that indicates how facilities adhere to the standards and provide adequate access and support service for faculty and candidates. Describe both student and faculty access

to a sufficient quantity and quality of:

- o Online and/or print library materials and resources.
- o Instructional and/or other technologies.
- Physical, virtual, and/or online educational services or facilities, and other essential resources for faculty and candidates, including communication technology.
- o Technological and resource support.

Standard 5: Candidate Evaluation and Program Evaluation and Improvement

Standard 5.1 Evaluating Candidates and Graduates: The institution conducts a well-defined plan for evaluating the candidates and teachers it prepares, including analyzing and reporting employer satisfaction and feedback surveys and other measures.

Standard 5.2 Use of Evaluation Results to Improve the Program: The institution analyzes reports and uses evaluation results to study, develop, and improve its teacher education programs.

Standard 5.3 Long-Range Planning: The institution plans for the long-range development of teacher education, including the program for preparing teachers of D/HH students. These plans are part of a design for total institutional development.

Standard 5 Narrative

- Describe the program's long range evaluation plans and the role of assessment in the overall administrative design, development, and continuous evaluation process. Describe how national, state, or administrative elements may have impacted the program's design and implementation.
- Describe how the timing of assessments is designed across stages of program completion so that candidates are evaluated periodically during program comp
- Report student outcomes assessment data for 5 years based on the chart below.
 - Use separate charts for undergraduate and graduate data similar to the format provided below (add charts for post-baccalaureate, alternate routes, as needed). Programs may use their CEC, National or Regional data matrices if they include the elements listed below.
 - Report on each CED-CEC standard separately. Describe how the program has used candidate outcomes on each standard to evaluate, and revise, and improve their program.
 - o Describe procedures for addressing candidates who fail one or more assessments of these standards to ensure their comprehensive preparation prior to graduation.
 - Provide a description of candidates' role in the design, evaluation, development or modification of the program and how this feedback has been used by the program for program improvements
- Use the charts further below or a visual means of presenting numbers/percentages (e.g., line or bar graphs or pie charts) to present data for:
 - o Employer survey results based on hirings over the past 5 years. Attach weblinks or electronic copies of the survey forms and describe the recruitment, data collection, rate of return, and resulting program modification or improvement activities.
 - Graduate survey results over the past 5 years. Attach weblinks or electronic copies of the survey forms and describe the recruitment, data collection, rate of return, and resulting program modification or improvement activities.

Candidate Outcomes Data for Standard 1.2

Assmt	CED-CEC Standard #	Type or Name of Assessment			of Data O		
			1	2	3	4	5
			Met/Unmet	Met/Unmet	Met/Unmet	Met/Unmet	Met/Unmet
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
(optional)							
8							
(optional)							

Assmt	CED-CEC	Type or Name of		5 Years	of Data Ou		
	Standard #	Assessment		1	Graduate	1	1
			1	2	3	4	5
			#Met/Unmet	#Met/Unmet	#Met/Unmet	#Met/Unmet	#Met/Unmet
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
(optional)							
8							
(optional)							

Employer Survey Data

(or present as line/bar graphs or pie charts)

Item	Item Summary	Means/SD	Employer Comments:
1			
2			
3			
More as needed			

Graduate Survey Data

(or present as line/bar graphs or pie charts)

Item	Item Summary	Means/SD	Graduate Comments:
1			
2			
3			
More as needed			

Appendix A: Course matrix of CEC-CED standards and a syllabus for each required course

Appendix B: Assessments for Standard 1.2 with rubrics

Note: Each assessment must be designed as a rubric using observable and measurable terms to describe expected candidate performance outcomes. See examples below.

Appendix C: Faculty curriculum vitas (full- and part-time)

Assessment Rubrics: Example 1

Assessment activity: Students complete at least two language and communication assessments and use these to plan a lesson that utilizes student strengths to increase learning and performance in areas of need.

Activity and	Exceed	Met Standard	Partially Met	Not Met
Standard				
CED-CEC 4:	The candidate followed	The candidate	The candidate	The candidate
Assesses	all required assessment	followed required	followed most	made major
language and	protocol, with at least	assessment protocol	assessment	assessment errors
communication	two elements that	with several	requirements with	or omissions in
strengths and	exceeded requirements.	perceptive	1-2 minor or 1	conducting the
needs of a DHH	Summary of skills and	performance notes.	major error or	assessments. Skill
student	needs showed	Summary of skills and	omission.	summaries showed
	comprehensive	needs showed	Summary of skills	several
	understandings of	thorough	and needs showed	misunderstandings
	language and	understandings of	basic	of language and
	communication	language and	understandings of	communication
	development with at	communication	language and	development of
	least wo unique insights	development with	communication	DHH students.
	or perspectives regarding	accurate descriptions	development of	
	the student's strengths	of individual strengths	DHH students with	
	and needs.	and needs.	no more than 1	
			major error.	
CED-CED 5:	The candidate insightfully	The candidate skillfully	The candidate	The candidate
Utilizes	applied language/	applied language/	utilized several of	utilized few
assessment	communication	communication	language/	appropriate
results to plan	assessment results to	assessment results to	communication	language/
an effective	development of an	development of an	assessment results	communication
language/	individually-relevant and	individually-relevant	to develop a lesson	assessment results

communication	engaging with at least	lesson that addressed	with several minor	to develop a lesson,
lesson	two exceptional lesson	all lesson plan	errors and/or	with several major
	plan elements.	elements.	several minor or	errors or omissions
			no more than 1	in lesson plan
			major error in	elements.
			lesson plan	
			elements.	

Assessment Rubric, Example 2

Assessment activity: Students complete at least two assessments in a single subject area and use these to plan and implement an effective lesson that utilizes student strengths to increase learning and performance in areas of need.

CED-CEC 4:	Identify & se	lect	Implementati	on/4	Summary/3	Recommen-
Assesses	assessments/2		/1 Clear description of		/2 Clear	dations:/1
learning	/1 Describe subject		assessment situation:		identification of	Clearly
strengths and	area and available		time, date and setting;		student's strengths	prioritized
needs of a DHH	assessments	with	room characteristics;		and needs including	sequence with
student	information of	on their	student motivation and		unique response or	logical
Individual	validity and/	or endorse-	behavior.		learning patterns for	explanations
Score:/10	ment by the	state,	/1 Description of		each assessment.	and reasons
points	district, or pr		procedure, any deviations		/1 Complete results	based on child
> 10: Exceed	/1 Provide	brief	or modifications due to		information for each	development
8-10: Met	description of student		setting or student		assessment with no	and individual
6-7: Partial	with reasons for concerns.			substantial omissions	learning	
<6: Not met	choosing the 2 final		/2 Clear and accurate		or misunderstandings	characteristics
Program data:	assessments for this		data or score sheets for		of scoring or	
# Met	student. Prov	nt. Provide each assessment		responses.		
# Unmet	assessment title,					
(partial/not	publication place/date,					
met)	and weblinks.					
CED-CED 5:	State	Lesson	Materials,	Key	Procedure:	Assessment
Plans and	standards,	objective:	Key	teaching	Introduction:/1	and Expansion:
conducts an	lesson	/1	vocabulary	methods:	Development:/3	/1
effective	goals and	Clear,	and signs;	/1	Wrap-up:/1	Lesson ends with
instructional	lesson	measurable	Prerequisite	Methods	Introduction links to	a clear
lesson	context:	and	skills, know-	include	prior learning, engages	assessment to
Individual	/1	behavioral that fits the	ledge, & ex-	building	and motivates students.	document skills that meets the
Score:/15	Appropriate	standard,	periences:	from direct instruction	Development provides sufficient description,	lesson objective.
points	standard	lesson	/1	(basic	exploration, practice and	Expansion
> 15: Exceed	that	goals, and	All elements	`	hands-on use, to achieve	modifies plan for
	matches	goais, and		i skilisi lo	i ilalius-oli use, to acilieve	
12-14: Met	matches	procedure.	identified and	skills) to higher	mastery.	students who
12-14: Met 6-7: Partial	overall	_	identified and relevant,		· ·	students who have unique
		_	identified and relevant, lesson builds	higher order application	mastery. Wrap-up asks summarizing and application	students who have unique learning needs at
6-7: Partial	overall lesson goals	_	identified and relevant, lesson builds from	higher order application and usage	mastery. Wrap-up asks summarizing and application questions to review and	students who have unique learning needs at either above or
6-7: Partial <6: Not met	overall lesson goals and context	_	identified and relevant, lesson builds	higher order application and usage Use:/1	mastery. Wrap-up asks summarizing and application questions to review and reinforce learning.	students who have unique learning needs at either above or below
6-7: Partial <6: Not met Program data: # Met # Unmet	overall lesson goals and context of the lesson	_	identified and relevant, lesson builds from prerequisite	higher order application and usage Use:/1 Effective	mastery. Wrap-up asks summarizing and application questions to review and reinforce learning. Use:/3	students who have unique learning needs at either above or below expectations.
6-7: Partial <6: Not met Program data: # Met	overall lesson goals and context of the lesson	_	identified and relevant, lesson builds from prerequisite	higher order application and usage Use:/1 Effective support of	mastery. Wrap-up asks summarizing and application questions to review and reinforce learning. Use:/3 Reviews and introduces	students who have unique learning needs at either above or below expectations. Use:/1
6-7: Partial <6: Not met Program data: # Met # Unmet	overall lesson goals and context of the lesson	_	identified and relevant, lesson builds from prerequisite	higher order application and usage Use:/1 Effective support of student's	mastery. Wrap-up asks summarizing and application questions to review and reinforce learning. Use:/3 Reviews and introduces content, builds new	students who have unique learning needs at either above or below expectations. Use:/1 Assessment
6-7: Partial <6: Not met Program data: # Met # Unmet (partial/not	overall lesson goals and context of the lesson	_	identified and relevant, lesson builds from prerequisite	higher order application and usage Use:/1 Effective support of	mastery. Wrap-up asks summarizing and application questions to review and reinforce learning. Use:/3 Reviews and introduces	students who have unique learning needs at either above or below expectations. Use:/1

			needs are met.

Assessment Rubric: Example 3
Assessment activity: Students complete at least two language and communication assessments.

CED-CEC 4: Assesses language and communication strengths and needs of a DHH student.					
Emerging Performance		Proficient Performance	Advanced	Performance	
The candidate made major assessment errors or omissions in conducting the assessments. Skill summaries showed several misunderstandings of language and communication development of DHH students	The candidate followed most assessment requirements with 1-2 minor or 1 major error or omission. Summary of skills and needs showed basic understandings of language and communication development of DHH students with no more than 1 major error.	The candidate followed required assessment protocol with several perceptive performance notes. Summary of skills and needs showed thorough understandings of language and communication development with accurate descriptions of individual strengths and needs.	The candidate followed all required assessment protocol, with at least two elements that exceeded requirements. Summary of skills and needs showed comprehensive understandings of language and communication development with at least wo unique insights or perspectives regarding the student's strengths and needs.	The candidate referenced additional related assessments to provide further insight into the student's developmental strengths and needs. Or the candidate used references on additional environmental and situational circumstances that could be utilized to further support development and growth.	